Darganfod Hen Dai Cymreig
Discovering Old Welsh Houses

Reports: Welcome to the many Discovering Old Welsh Houses Reports which are available here on our website. All the reports - House Histories, Building Reports and Tree-ring Dating reports - can be accessed - *here*

Discovering Old Welsh Houses studies and celebrates the traditional houses of North Wales and the lives of the people who lived in them.

If you find the content useful, please consider becoming a *Member* to access the many benefits available.

Please note that these reports are being updated as part of an ongoing programme of revision. Older reports sometimes refer to the old names of the Group. Between 2005 and 2012 also known as The Snowdonia Dendrochronology Project, then the N W Wales Dendrochronology Project and then the Dating Old Welsh Houses Group.

New reports will be added from time to time. Keep an eye on our website for updates.

Oxford Dendrochronology Laboratory
Report 2018/35

DENDROCHRONOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS AT THE BLACK DOG GALLERY, MWROG STREET, RUTHIN DENBIGHSHIRE

(SJ 1200 7830)

Summary

The collar to the one readily accessible truss was sampled, yielding a 94-year ring width sequence to the heartwood-sapwood boundary. Whilst no unusual growth patterns were noticed in this series, the mean ring-width was very small. No consistent matches were found against the database of dated material, and the series remains undated.

Author: Dr M. C. Bridge FSA
Oxford Dendrochronology Laboratory
Mill Farm
Mapledurham
Oxfordshire
RG4 7TX

September 2018

A report commissioned by the Discovering Old Welsh Houses Group in collaboration with the Royal Commission on the Ancient and Historic Monuments Wales (RCAHMW)

Dendrochronological Investigations of timber at the Black Dog Gallery, Mwrog Street, Ruthin, Denbighshire (SJ 1200 7830)

BACKGROUND TO DENDROCHRONOLOGY

The basis of dendrochronological dating is that trees of the same species, growing at the same time, in similar habitats, produce similar ring-width patterns. These patterns of varying ring-widths are unique to the period of growth. Each tree naturally has its own pattern superimposed on the basic 'signal', resulting from genetic variations in the response to external stimuli, the changing competitive regime between trees, damage, disease, management etc.

In much of Britain the major influence on the growth of a species like oak is, however, the weather conditions experienced from season to season. By taking several contemporaneous samples from a building or other timber structure, it is often possible to cross-match the ring-width patterns, and by averaging the values for the sequences, maximise the common signal between trees. The resulting 'site chronology' may then be compared with existing 'master' or 'reference' chronologies. These include chronologies made by colleagues in other countries, most notably areas such as modern Poland, which have proved to be the source of many boards used in the construction of doors and chests, and for oil paintings before the widespread use of canvas.

This process can be done by a trained dendrochronologist using plots of the ring-widths and comparing them visually, which also serves as a check on measuring procedures. It is essentially a statistical process, and therefore requires sufficiently long sequences for one to be confident in the results. There is no defined minimum length of a tree-ring series that can be confidently cross-matched, but as a working hypothesis most dendrochronologists use series longer than at least fifty years.

The dendrochronologist also uses objective statistical comparison techniques, these having the same constraints. The statistical comparison is based on programs by Baillie & Pilcher (1973, 1984) and uses the Student's *t*-test. The *t*-test compares the actual difference between two means in relation to the variation in the data, and is an established statistical technique for looking at the significance of matching between two datasets that has been adopted by dendrochronologists. The values of '*t*' which give an acceptable match have been the subject of some debate; originally values above 3.5 being regarded as acceptable (given at least 100 years of overlapping rings) but now 4.0 is often taken as the base value in oak studies. Higher values are usually found with matching pine sequences. It is possible for a random set of numbers to give an apparently acceptable statistical match against a single reference curve – although the visual analysis of plots of the two series usually shows the trained eye the reality of this match. When a series of ring-widths gives strong statistical matches in the same position against a number of independent chronologies the series becomes dated with an extremely high level of confidence.

One can develop long reference chronologies by cross-matching the innermost rings of modern timbers with the outermost rings of older timbers successively back in time, adding data from numerous sites. Data now exist covering many thousands of years and it is, in theory, possible to match a sequence of unknown date to this reference material.

It follows from what has been stated above that the chances of matching a single sequence are not as great as for matching a tree-ring series derived from many individuals, since the process of aggregating individual series will remove variation unique to an individual tree, and reinforce the common signal resulting from widespread influences such as the weather. However, a single sequence can be successfully dated, particularly if it has a long ring sequence.

Growth characteristics vary over space and time, trees in south-eastern England generally growing comparatively quickly and with less year-to-year variation than in many other regions (Bridge, 1988). This means that even comparatively large timbers in this region often exhibit few annual rings and are less useful for dating by this technique.

When interpreting the information derived from the dating exercise it is important to take into account such factors as the presence or absence of sapwood on the sample(s), which indicates the outer margins of the tree. Where no sapwood is present it may not be possible to determine how much wood has been removed, and one can therefore only give a date after which the original tree must have been felled. Where the bark is still present on the timber, the year, and even the time of year of felling can be determined. In the case of incomplete sapwood, one can estimate the number of rings likely to have been on the timber by relating it to populations of living and historical timbers to give a statistically valid range of years within which the tree was felled. For this region the estimate used is that 95% of oaks will have a sapwood ring number in the range 11 – 41 (Miles 1997).



Section of tree with conversion methods showing three types of sapwood retention resulting in **A** *terminus post quem*, **B** a felling date range, and **C** a precise felling date. Enlarged area **D** shows the outermost rings of the sapwood with growing seasons (Miles 1997, 42)

Black Dog Gallery

Part of a once larger building complex, the Gallery property contains a truss near the front of the property. This truss has a collar supported by queen struts, with a highly decorated piece below (see cover photo), and two raking struts above. The decoration suggests a possible very early origin.

SAMPLING

The timbers were investigated during August 2018 (Fig 1). Core samples were extracted using a 15mm diameter borer attached to an electric drill. They were labelled with the prefix **bdru**, and taken away for subsequent analysis, where they were glued to laths.

The samples were polished with progressively finer grits down to 400 to allow the measurement of ring-widths to the nearest 0.01 mm. The samples were measured under a binocular microscope on a purpose-built moving stage with a linear transducer, attached to a desktop computer. Measurements and subsequent analysis were carried out using programs by Ian Tyers (Tyers 2004).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The locations and details of the samples are described in Table 1. The samples from the raking struts were found to contain very few rings (<30) and were not considered further. The core from the collar was found to have 94 rings, with no unusual growth patterns, but the rings were very narrow (mean ring width just 0.89mm). The series was compared with the database of dated timbers from both Wales and further afield (England, Scotland, Ireland and the Continent), but no consistent acceptable matches were found, and the timber remains undated.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report was commissioned by The Discovering Old Welsh Houses Group. I thank the members of DOWHG who made arrangements for our visits, and assisted during the fieldwork. I thank the owner (Nick Woodcock), and also my fellow dendrochronologists for permission to use their data.

DOWHG wishes to acknowledge the assistance of the Woodtiger Fund, Clwydian Range and Dee Valley AONB, and the Marc Fitch Fund, towards this work.

REFERENCES

Baillie, M.G.L. and Pilcher, J.R. (1973) *A simple cross-dating program for tree-ring research*. **Tree Ring Bulletin**, 33, 7-14.

Bridge, M. C. (1988) *The dendrochronological dating of buildings in southern England*, **Medieval Archaeology**, 32, 166-174.

English Heritage (1998) *Guidelines on producing and interpreting dendrochronological dates,* **English Heritage, London.**

Miles, D. (1997) *The interpretation, presentation, and use of tree-ring dates*, **Vernacular Architecture**, 28, 40-56.

Tyers, I. (2004) *Dendro for Windows Program Guide 3rd edn*, **ARCUS Report,** 500b**.**

Table 1: Details of samples taken from the Black Dog Gallery, Mwrog Street, Ruthin.

Sample number	Timber and position	Sapwood complement	No of rings	Mean width (mm)	Std devn (mm)	Mean sens
bdru01	Collar to exposed truss	H/S	94	0.89	0.26	0.19
bdru02	West raking strut	-	<30	-	-	-
bdru03	East raking strut	-	<30	-	-	-

Key: H/S bdry = heartwood/sapwood boundary - last heartwood ring date; C = complete sapwood, felled the following winter; std devn = standard deviation; mean sens = mean sensitivity; NM = not measured.